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## 5.A ESTIMATION OF DATA RELATED TO IRRIGATION

## 5A. 1 Introduction

The irrigation water requirements of all the crops possibly be grown in the different irrigation projects are needed as input variables for the crop pattern model. The procedures applied are basically those described in the FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 24. So the steps involved are as follows:

- Calculation of reference crop evapotranspiration (ETo)
- Calculation of crop water requirements (ETc)
- Calculation of net irrigation requirements (In)
m Estimation of irrigation water requirements (Vi)
In principle the calculations for the 17 irrigation projects of the GAP Master Plan have been conducted individually. But as climatic and/or crop data are not specifically available for each project, some projects have been lumped together so that finally there are 12 results for each crop (main seeding dates) plus a varying number of results because of alternative seeding dates.


## 5A. 2 Calculation of Reference Crop Evapotranspiration (ETo)

The FAO methodology for the prediction of crop water requirements has become known since the mid 1970's, and has developed to an international standard, worldwide applied in irrigation development and management projects.

The effect of climate on crop water requirements is given by the reference crop evapotransporation (ETO) which is defined as "the rate of evapotranspiration from an extensive surface of 8 to 15 cm tall, green grass cover of uniform height, actively growing, completely shading the ground and not short of water." FAO recommends 4 methods to calculate ETo, depending on the type of climatic data available. Concerning accuracy, the Penman method, modified by FAO, would offer the best results whereas the Blaney-Criddle method, also modified by FAO, ranks last. There was evidence, however, that the FAO modified Penman method was somewhat overpredicting under non-advective conditions. So since May 1990 FAO recommends the Penman - Monteith method as the presently best performing combination equation (FAO Report on the Expert Consultation on Revision of FAO Methodologies for Crop Water Requirements, May 1990, Rome). Climatic data needed for the Penman-Monteith method are: temperature, humidity, wind, and sunshine. So for each of the 17 irrigation projects the nearest climatic station has been identified (Table 5A.1). As not all the stations measure all 4 needed parameters, the missing one has been taken from another nearby station.

Table 5A.1: Climatological Stations Related to the Irrigation Projects

| Irrigation Project | Code | Temperature | Sunshine Humidity, Wind | Rainfall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |
| Siverek-Hilvan | $\left.\begin{array}{c} N 1 \\ N 2 a \\ N 2 b \\ N 3 \\ N \\ N 4 a \\ N 4 b \\ N 4 c \end{array}\right\}$ | Siverek | Siverek | Siverek |
| Adiyaman-Kahta |  | Adiyaman | Adiyaman | Kahta |
| Adiyaman-Göksu-Ar. |  |  |  |  |
| Dicle right bank ${ }^{\text {Dicle right pumped }}$ \} |  | Diyarbakir | Diyarbakir | Diyarbakir |
| Garzan |  | Batman | Batman | Kurtalan, Beçiri |
| Batman right bank \} |  |  |  |  |
| Batman left bank $\}$ |  | Batman | Batman | Batman |
| Batman-Silvan |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |
| Bozova pumped | S7 | S. Uria | S. Uria | Bozova |
| Gaziantep | S9 | Gaziantep | Gaziantep | Nizip |
| Silopi | S11 | Cizre | Cizre | Cizre |
| Nusaybin-Cizre-ldil | S10 | Nusaybin, Cizre | Nusaybin, Cizre | Nusaybin, Cizre |
| Urfa-Harran | S5 | S. Ura | S. Urfa | Pt. Urfa |
| Mardin-Ceylanpinar 1st stage |  |  |  |  |
| Mardin-Ceylanpinar | S6 | Ceylanpinar | Ceylanpinar | Ceylanpinar, Kiziltep |
| 2nd stage |  |  |  |  |
| Suruç-Baziki | S8 | Birecik | Birecik | Yaylak |

Source: GAP Proje Sahasinin Meteorolojik Etüdü, DMI, Ankara, 1990

The calculations of ETo have been conducted with the FAO-CROPWAT program, version 5.6 of March 1991 which incorporates already the Penman-Monteith method. Input data and results of ETo for the different irrigation projects are presented in the tables 9.1 to 9.12 of Appendix E, whereas summarizing results are shown in Table 5A.2. Annual ETo-values range from 1222 to 1668 mm whereas the annual effective rainfall ( Pe ) ranges from 301 to 554 mm . Highest ETo-values occur for July which is the peak month for all irrigation projects in the range of approximately 7 to $9.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and with practically no effective rainfall (Pe) whereas the lowest ETo-values occur during December to February (approx. 0.6 to $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ ) being completely compensated by Pe .

Table 5A.2: $\quad$ Reference Crop Evapotranspiration (ETO) and Effective Rainfall ( Pe )

| Irrigation Project | Code | ETo |  | Pe | ETo for July |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (mm/a) | (mm/season) | (mm/a) | (mm/d) |
| NORTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Siverek-Hilvan | N1 | 1686 | 1471 | 467 | 9.5 |
| Adiyaman-Kahta | N2a | 1548 | 1366 | 540 | 8.9 |
| Adiyaman-Göksu-Araban | N2b | 1548 | 1366 | 540 | 8.9 |
| Dicle right + right pumped | N3 | 1433 | 1297 | 433 | 9.1 |
| Garzan | N4a | 1222 | 1093 | 523 | 7.2 |
| Batman right + left | N4b | 1222 | 1093 | 437 | 7.2 |
| Batman-Silvan | N4c | 1222 | 1093 | 437 | 7.2 |
| SOUTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urfa-Harran | S5 | 1646 | 1464 | 301 | 9.6 |
| Mardin-Ceylan. (1st+2nd stage) | S6 | 1478 | 1315 | 350 | 8.9 |
| Bozova pumped | S7 | 1646 | 1464 | 362 | 9.6 |
| Suruç-Baziki | S8 | 1486 | 1307 | 361 | 8.6 |
| Gaziantep | S9 | 1514 | 1351 | 377 | 8.0 |
| Nusaybin-Cizre-Idil | S10 | 1381 | 1240 | 485 | 6.7 |
| Silopi | S11 | 1409 | 1233 | 554 | 7.6 |

* irrigation season from April to November


## 5A. 3 Calculation of Crop Water Requirements (ETc)

Crop water requirements (ETc) are defined as "the depth of water needed to meet the water loss through evapotranspiration of a disease-free crop, growing in large fields under nonrestricting soil conditions including soil water and fertility and achieving full production potential under the given growing environment". The effect of the crop characteristics on ETc is given by the crop coefficient ( kc ) which presents the relationship between ETo and ETc or $\mathrm{ETc}=\mathrm{kc} *$ ETo.

Values of kc vary with the crop, its crop stage, growing season and the prevailing weather conditions. So the growing season of field and vegetable crops is divided into 4 stages: the initial, crop development, mid season, and late season stage. As the seeding and harvest dates are different for the 2 GAP regions (Güler 1991), the length of the 4 growing stages for the same crop is also somewhat different. These lengths have been modified based on the information provided in the FAO papers 24 and 33 and for some few crops local information was available. The kc -value for the initial stage depends on ETo and the average recurrence interval of irrigation or significant rain. This interval was assumed to be for both regions and all months 7 to 10 days. The ETo-values for each month have been averaged for all irrigation projects to be used in a graph in FAO-paper 24, resulting in identical kc-values for the initial stage for all irrigation projects.

The kc-values for the mid- and late-season stage of each crop depend on minimum relative humidity (RHmin) and wind speed. It was found that for each irrigation project the wind speed is $<5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for all months and $\mathrm{RHmin}(\mathrm{RH}$ at 14.00 h ) for all projects and all months is $>20 \%$, except for July and August. As for June and September RHmin is just slightly $>20 \%$ an interpolation for the kc -values is applied. All the kc -values for the different crops have been taken from FAO-paper 24.

So for the main seeding dates the same crop coefficients for all irrigation projects can be applied. But as the length of the crop growing season for the same crop is somewhat different in the North- and South-GAP region it was necessary to use 2 crop data sets for field and vegetable crops whereas for perennials only one crop data set has been used (Appendix E 9).

As it is the objective of the crop pattern model to find an optimum crop pattern which is also influenced by climate, soil, land and water availability, management and production criteria, for most (major) crops alternative seeding dates had to be considered. To reduce the number of input data for the model only 1 to 9 additional alternative seeding dates have been selected, principally with a time interval of 15 days. Consequently the kc-values and the length of the 4 growing stages for the same crop had to be modified, separately for the two GAP-regions, giving a large number of additional crop data sets (Appendix E 9).

The calculation of ETo has also been conducted with the FAO-CROPWAT program, resulting in 10 data sets, one for each irrigation project or group of projects with identical ETo-data (Tables B1 to B11 of Working Paper No. II/4.5 of March 1992 show the results for the main seeding dates, although for some projects the data have been modified at a later date).

## A5.4 Calculation of Net Irrigation Requirements (In)

Part of the crop water requirements can be met by effective rainfall ( Pe ), groundwater $(\mathrm{Ge})$ and stored soil water ( Wb ) or

$$
\mathrm{In}=\mathrm{ETc}-\mathrm{Pe}-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{Wb} .
$$

In this study Ge and Wb are assumed to be zero. Effective rainfall is calculated on a monthly basis according to the USBR method:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Pe}=\mathrm{P}(125-0.2 \mathrm{P} / 125) & \text { for } \mathrm{P}<250 \mathrm{~mm} \text { and } \\
\mathrm{Pe}=125+0.1 \mathrm{P} & \text { for } \mathrm{P}>250 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

with $\mathrm{P}=$ average monthly rainfall (approx. $50 \%$ probability).
This approach is similar to that applied by DSI, not taking into account a dependable rainfall ( $80 \%$ probability of excedence). This seems to be acceptable as there is hardly any rainfall from June to September, the critical months because of peak water requirements.

Alternatively the combined effect of dependable rainfall ( $80 \%$ prob. exc.) and estimated losses due to runoff and percolation can be taken into account by the empirical formula developed by FAO/AGLW:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Pe}=0.6 \mathrm{P}-10 \text { for } \mathrm{P}<60 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \mathrm{Pe}=0.8 \mathrm{P}-25 \text { for } \mathrm{P}>60 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

As there are more rainfall than complete meteorological stations in the GAP region, for nearly each irrigation project another rainfall station has been used (Tables 5A. 1 and 5A.2).
The calculation of net irrigation requirements has also been conducted with the FAOCROPWAT program. The detailed results for the main seeding dates are shown in the tables B1 to B11 (In = IRReq) of Working Paper No.II/4.5 of March 1992 and Table 5A. 3 gives a summary, taking also into account the results of alternative seeding dates. For the crop pattern model monthly In-values are used, only for the expected peak period from June to August 10 -day-values are used.

Table 5A.3a: Seasonal Net Irrigation Requirements (in) for North-GAP Projects

| Range of net irrigation requirements ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{season}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops* | Siverek-Hilvan N 1 | Adiyaman $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dicle } \\ \text { N3 } \end{gathered}$ | Garzan N4a | Batman-Silvan $\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{c}$ |
| Alfalfa | 1137 | 1048 | 995 | 801 | 808 |
| $\mathrm{Barley}_{2}$ | 153-219 | 145-212 | 105-164 | 68-122 | 68-136 |
| Bean, dry | 434 | 423 | 375 | 319 | 322 |
| $\mathrm{Cabbage}_{3}$ | 158-309 | 161-262 | 104-248 | 74-193 | 75-195 |
| Carrot-Winter | 148 | 122 | 95 | 56 | 67 |
| Carrot-Spring | 548 | 530 | 481 | 399 | 403 |
| Cauliflower | 462 | 400 | 389 | 324 | 306 |
| Chickpea3 | 298-470 | 289-456 | 233-392 | 183-318 | 197-333 |
| Corn-Grain 7 | 589-789 | 525-747 | 522-730 | 410-585 | 411-581 |
| Com-Sillage ${ }_{8}$ | 469-761 | 406-716 | 394-713 | 309-568 | 311-570 |
| Cotton | 1104 | 1027 | 990 | 786 | 790 |
| $\mathrm{Cucumber}_{2}$ | 783-836 | 728-785 | 722-772 | 573-615 | 575-617 |
| Eggplant2 | 1015-1028 | 931-954 | 903-923 | 716-737 | 720-741 |
| Groundnut7 | 562-805 | 494-755 | 486-751 | 383-597 | 385-599 |
| Leek | 406 | 349 | 337 | 264 | 265 |
| Lentil | 188 | 180 | 136 | 96 | 109 |
| Lettuce $_{3}$ | 36-57 | 25-51 | 14-31 | 2-12 | 6-19 |
| Melon | 794 | 747 | 736 | 585 | 588 |
| Occra | 1127 | 1033 | 1008 | 807 | 807 |
| Onion Winter ${ }_{3}$ | 588-688 | 539-644 | 466-585 | 372-468 | 384-479 |
| Onion Spring | 754 | 722 | 674 | 549 | 556 |
| Pepper $_{2}$ | 835-945 | 756-864 | 740-842 | 585-668 | 588-671 |
| Potato, early | 528 | 519 | 474 | 396 | 400 |
| Potato, late | 697 | 668 | 628 | 517 | 520 |
| Rice** | 1710 | 1651 | 1627 | 1443 | 1445 |
| Sorghum-Sillage9 | 356-745 | 302-701 | 287-698 | 224-556 | 226-558 |
| Sorghum-Grain 7 | 619-866 | 546-817 | 538-803 | 423-640 | 425-643 |
| Soybean $_{7}$ | 602-783 | 537-738 | 535-733 | 422-585 | 422-587 |
| Spinach-Spring | 98 | 96 | 68 | 57 | 57 |
| Spinach-Winter ${ }_{3}$ | 25-137 | 13-115 | 10-106 | 7-85 | 7.85 |
| Squash | 553 | 526 | 510 | 409 | 412 |
| Sugarbeet $_{2}$ | 1114-1147 | 1029-1071 | 1001-1038 | 798-835 | 802-838 |
| Sunflower2 | 984-1001 | 925-946 | 905-917 | 722-740 | 726-743 |
| $\mathrm{Tomato}_{2}$ | 1097-1125 | 1025-1058 | 1003-1030 | 799-828 | 802-832 |
| $\mathrm{Watermelon}_{2}$ | 667-682 | 628-646 | 624-630 | 497-503 | 499-506 |
| Wheat 2 | 203-317 | 196-278 | 150-280 | 109-176 | 109-241 |

* Indices are meaning the number of altemative seeding dates.
** Including water for nursery on $10 \%$ of the area, 200 mm water for land preparation and percolation rate of $4 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}$; no irrigation during the last month.

Table 5A.3b: Seasonal Net Irrigation Requirements (in) for South-GAP Projects

|  | Range of net irrigation requirements ( $\mathrm{mm} /$ season) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops* | Urfa-Harran S5 | Mardin-Ceylanpinar S6 | Bozova S7 | Suruc-Baziki S8 |
| Alfalfa | 1202 | 1059 | 1162 | 1023 |
| Barley 2 | 141-178 | 84-133 | 108-160 | 80-123 |
| $\mathrm{Cabbage}_{3}$ | 123-259 | 80-209 | 101-244 | 79-201 |
| Carrot-Winter | 133 | 86 | 112 | 67 |
| Carrot-Spring | 450 | 416 | 445 | 391 |
| Cauliflower | 378 | 310 | 361 | 299 |
| Chickpea3 | 224-544 | 163-469 | 192-512 | 163-462 |
| Corn-Graing | 477-791 | 407-736 | 464-785 | 389-703 |
| Corn-Sill 10 | 383-773 | 311-721 | 366-768 | 303-689 |
| $\mathrm{Cotton}_{3}$ | 1035-1105 | 956-1001 | 1047-1089 | 906-961 |
| $\mathrm{Cucumber}_{3}$ | 745-780 | 702-713 | 749-773 | 659-682 |
| Eggplant3 | 1054-1095 | 939-969 | 1030-1061 | 904-941 |
| Groundnut8 | 564-799 | 492-740 | 552-793 | 468-706 |
| Leek | 337 | 272 | 318 | 263 |
| Lentil | 147 | 115 | 141 | 91 |
| Lettuce $_{3}$ | 32-91 | $11-51$ | 19-69 | 10-43 |
| Melon | 752 | 709 | 781 | 663 |
| Occra | 1133 | 1045 | 1132 | 988 |
| Onion Winter 3 | 426-541 | 324-454 | 379-497 | 322-443 |
| Onion Spring | 599 | 561 | 636 | 523 |
| Pepper $_{2}$ | 939-946 | 844-863 | 924-938 | 812-824 |
| Potato, early | 510 | 457 | 487 | 449 |
| Potato, late | 630 | 566 | 606 | 556 |
| Rice** | 1466 | 1395 | 1503 | 1378 |
| Sorghum-Sillage ${ }_{10}$ | 357-791 | 292-736 | 341-783 | 282-709 |
| Sorghum-Graing | 467-842 | 395-781 | 453-836 | 379-751 |
| Soybean $_{8}$ | 562-768 | 504-716 | 559-763 | 474-686 |
| Spinach-Spring | 40 | 35 | 52 | 17 |
| Spinach-Winter 3 | 18-97 | 7-72 | 9-87 | 6-71 |
| Squash | 453 | 424 | 485 | 403 |
| Sugarbeet3 | 1057-1143 | 962-1033 | 1059-1126 | 926-994 |
| Sunflower3 | 913-1043 | 837-954 | 911-1028 | 805-916 |
| $\mathrm{Tomato}_{3}$ | 1008-1071 | 919-976 | 986-1055 | 883-940 |
| $\mathrm{Watermelon}_{2}$ | 621-652 | 601-606 | 642-644 | 555-586 |
| Wheat2 | 198-244 | 142-208 | 169-235 | 141-188 |

*, \% Indices are meaning the number of alternative seeding dates.
${ }^{*}$. $:$ Including water for nursery on $10 \%$ of the area, 200 mm water for land preparation and percolation rate of $4 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}$; no irrigation during the last month.

Table 5A.3c: Seasonal Net Irrigation Requirements (In) for South-GAP Projects

| Range of net irrigation requirements ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{season}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops* | Gaziantep S9 | Nusaybin-Cizre-Idil S10 | Silopi S11 |
| Alfalfa | 1066 | 927 | 934 |
| $\mathrm{Barley}_{2}$ | 99-151 | 39-82 | 28-72 |
| $\mathrm{Cabbage}_{3}$ | 85-214 | 81-200 | 78-199 |
| Carrot-Winter | 95 | 57 | 99 |
| Carrot-Spring | 426 | 357 | 357 |
| Cauliflower | 316 | 291 | 291 |
| Chickpea 3 | 181-482 | 108-387 | 98-383 |
| Corn-Graing | 410-720 | 375-629 | 380-637 |
| Corn-Sillage 10 | 320-704 | 296-615 | 297-623 |
| $\mathrm{Cotton}_{3}$. | 950-993 | 833-868 | 843-879 |
| $\mathrm{Cucumber}_{3}$ | 691-710 | 598-619 | 604-628 |
| Eggplant3 | 939-972 | 822-842 | 831-851 |
| Groundnut8 | 491-725 | 445-635 | 450-644 |
| Leek | 279 | 257 | 257 |
| Lentil | 132 | 65 | 55 |
| Lettuce $_{3}$ | 11-60 | 3-21 | 1-16 |
| Melon | 725 | 620 | 627 |
| Occra | 1037 | 909 | 920 |
| Onion Winter ${ }_{3}$ | 350-467 | 271-379 | 262-374 |
| Onion Spring | 600 | 504 | 505 |
| Pepper $_{2}$ | 840-857 | 744 | 753 |
| Potato, early | 464 | 397 | 400 |
| Potato, late | 572 | 490 | 495 |
| Rice** | 1441 | 1330 | 1338 |
| Sorghum-Sillage ${ }_{10}$ | 299-724 | 277-627 | 278-634 |
| Sorghum-Graing | 400-768 | 365-669 | 368-678 |
| Soybean $_{8}$ | 498-700 | 445-612 | 452-620 |
| Spinach-Spring | 51 | 22 | 19 |
| Spinach-Winter ${ }_{3}$ | 7-77 | 6-76 | 5-74 |
| Squash | 457 | 390 | 394 |
| Sugarbeet $_{3}$ | 979-1027 | 844-895 | 853-907 |
| Sunflower3 | 845-944 | 726-817 | 734-826 |
| $\mathrm{Tomato}_{3}$ | 911-967 | 783-836 | 792-846 |
| $\mathrm{Watermelon}_{2}$ | 597-598 | 512-516 | 518-522 |
| Wheat $_{2}$ | 161-228 | 90-152 | 80-143 |

** Indices are meaning the number of alternative seeding dates.
** Including water for nursery on $10 \%$ of the area, 200 mm water for land preparation and percolation rate of $4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day; no irrigation during the last month.

Table 5A.3d: Annual Net Irrigation Requirements ( In ) of Perennials ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{a}$ )

| Irrigation Project | Code | Almond, Apricot, <br> Peach, Pear, Pecan, <br> Plum, Nectarine <br> weed free, <br> clean cultivation | Apple, Cheny, <br> Sour Cherry | Grape, <br> Pistachio <br> weed free, <br> clean cultiv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Siverek-Hilvan | N1 | 973 | 1075 | weed free, <br> clean cult. |
| Adiyaman | N2a+b | 900 | 992 | 713 |
| Dicle | N3 | 859 | 946 | 660 |
| Garzan | N4a | 684 | 757 | 633 |
| Batman | N4b+c | 691 | 763 | 503 |
| Ura-Harran | S5 | 1042 | 1146 | 506 |
| Mardin-Ceylan. | S6 | 917 | 1009 | 764 |
| Bozova | S7 | 1004 | 1106 | 674 |
| Suruç-Baziki | S8 | 880 | 970 | 732 |
| Gaziantep | S9 | 928 | 1014 | 647 |
| Nusaybin-Cizre-Idil | S10 | 793 | 875 | 675 |
| Silopi | S11 | 800 | 881 | 584 |

## 5A. 5 Estimation of Irrigation Water Requirements (Vi)

Other than for meeting the net irrigation requirements (In), water may be needed for leaching accumulated salts from the root zone and to compensate for water losses during conveyance, distribution and application. This should be accounted for in the irrigation water requirements (Vi). Leaching requirements (LR) and project irrigation efficiency (Ep) are included as a fraction of net irrigation requirements:

$$
\mathrm{Vi}=\operatorname{In} /(\mathrm{Ep}(1-\mathrm{LR}))
$$

As there is sufficient precipitation during the winter months possibly accumulated salts during summer time will be leached by this rain water. Assuming also good natural drainage conditions and a deep ground water table (at least during the first decades), LR is set to zero. In the case of ground water used for irrigation, especially downstream of extensive irrigation areas, salt conditions should be monitored.

Project irrigation efficiency (Ep) is normally subdivided into 3 stages, each of which is affected by a different set of conditions:

Conveyance efficiency (Ec) : ratio between water received at all inlets to blocks of fields (all farm or group inlets ) and that released at the project headworks (Vi).
Field canal efficiency (Eb) : ratio between water received at all field inlets and that received at all inlets to blocks of fields.

Field application efficiency (Ea) : ratio between irrigation water directly available to the crop (In) and that received at all field inlets.
Project irrigation efficiency ( Ep ) : ratio between irrigation water made directly available to the crop (In) and that released at the project headworks (Vi), or $\mathrm{Ep}=\mathrm{Ea} * \mathrm{~Eb} * \mathrm{Ec}$.

Factors affecting conveyance efficiency (Ec) are among others, size of the irrigated acreage, size of rotational unit, number and types of crops requiring adjustments in the supply, canal lining and the technical and managerial facilities of water control. Field canal eff. (Eb) is affected primarily by the method and control of operation, type of soils in respect of seepage losses, length of field canals, size of the irrigation blocks and the fields. Field application eff. (Ea) is much dictated by the operation of the main supply system in meeting the actual field irrigation requirements as well as by the irrigation skill of the farmers. It also depends on the irrigation method, soil type, depth of application per irrigation and flow rate per ha farm plot. In case of gravity irrigation Ea especially depends on field layout and land grading, whereas sprinkler irrigation is heavily depending on climate (hot and dry or humid and cool).

For the crop pattern model the Ep-values given in the Working Paper 15 of the GAP Master Plan will be used for the first alternative ( $\mathrm{Ep}=0.45$ to 0.54 ) with an average for all irrigation projects of 0.50 , Table 5A.4. In the GAP Master Plan there are given even higher Ep-values for sprinkler irrigation ( $\mathrm{Ep}=0.72$ ) and especially for drip irrigation ( $\mathrm{Ep}=0.85$ ). These high values may only mean Ea , but Ec and Eb have to be considered, too (and are basically identical to those for gravity irrigation, unless small irrigation areas can be operated independently with their own source of water within (wells) or very close to their irrigation area). If these independent small sprinkler and/or drip systems can't be established, a reestimation of the irrigation efficiencies for all the projects seemsto be necessary.

A rough estimation shows that $\mathrm{Ep}=$ approx. 0.35 to 0.52 for gravity irrigation projects, $\mathrm{Ep}=$ approx. 0.35 to 0.62 for sprinkler irrigation projects and $\mathrm{Ep}=$ approx. 0.45 to 0.66 for drip irrigation projects.

For a few irrigation projects, for which some more detailed information was available from DSI reports (although some characteristics had to be estimated), realistic estimations of irrigation efficiencies for gravity systems have been conducted, based on the procedure and information provided by BOS and NUGTEREN, 1982. The resulting project irrigation efficiencies (Ep) range only from 0.25 to 0.35 . That's why it is suggested to reduce the given Ep-values of Table 5 A .4 by $15 \%$ for the second alternative of the crop pattern model.

## 5A. 6 Input Data Related to Irrigation for the Crop Pattern Model

Table 5A. 4 summarizes all input data which are needed for the crop pattern model. The 17 irrigation projects of the GAP region (partly lumped together) have been grouped according to the 2 agroecological zones North and South, although some irrigation areas are located across this borderline.

The implementation date, mentioned in Table 5A.4, means the first year of irrigation. As the on-farm irrigation development will be delayed compared to the off-farm irrigation development (see MP) a staggered increase of the irrigation area for a project has to be considered: 1st year $50 \%$ irrigated, 2 nd year $75 \%$, 3 rd year $90 \%$ and 4 th year $100 \%$ (annual and monthly peak water supply have to be reduced by the same percentage).

The maximum net irrigable area (DSI information of Jan./Febr. 92) is a restriction for the model. On the other hand for model runs with future projections a fixed irrigable area has to
be used for those projects which have not yet been constructed. It is suggested to run the model for the year 2010 and the most probable scenario (all projects are implemented) and to use these calculated irrigated areas as fixed data for other model runs.

The gross area factor is needed to calculate the gross irrigated area, which has to be subtracted from the available rainfed area.

The annual and monthly peak water supply data are not really supply values as DSI has submitted these data based on their own calculations of irrigation water requirements (old Blany-Criddle-method, specific cropping pattern and cropping intensity). As no real other supply data have been made available, they have been used, being the 2 nd and 3rd restriction for the model.

To get an idea of the supply situation, in Table 5A. 5 the available supply has been related to ETO, on an annual as well as on a peak monthly basis. It is obvious that the Batman-Silvan and Adiyaman-Göksu-Araban projects will experience the most severe water shortages whereas the Silopi project gets relatively the highest amount of water.

Table 5A.4: Summary of Input Data

| Irigation Project | Code | Status* | Implementation Date* | Max. net irrigation area** [ha) | Gross area factor** | Annual water supply** $\left[10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right]$ | Monthly peak supply** $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} E p^{* * *} \\ {[\%]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Siverek-Hilvan | N1 | F/S | 2006 | 139772 | 1.14547 | 1746 | 174 | 46 |
| Adiyaman-Kahta | N2a | F/S | 1997 | 67940 | 1.14548 | 669 | 68 | 50 |
| Adiyaman-Göksu-Araban | N2b | U/C | 2000 | 62504 | 1.14549 | 535 | 52 | 51 |
| Dicle right + right pumped | N3 | U/C | 1994 | 110068 | 1.14547 | 1198 | 122 | 51 |
| Garzan | N4a | M/P | 2003 | 52380 | 1.14548 | 440 | 54 | 53 |
| Batman right + left | N4b | U/C | 1993 | 32950 | 1.14549 | 285 | 39 | 51 |
| Batman-Sivan | N4c | M/P | 2003 | 231300 | 1.11111 | 1386 | 168 | 45 |
| SOUTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urfa-Harran | S5 | U/c | 1993 | 126441 | 1.12175 | 1640 | 178 | 51 |
| Mardin-Ceylan. (1st + 2nd stage) | S6 | D/D | 2000 | 296163 | 1.12991 | 3593 | 396 | 50 |
| Bozova pumped | S7 | F/S | 1998 | 58968 | 1.18203 | 707 | 72 | 47 |
| Suruç-Baziki | S8 | F/S | 2003 | 102402 | 1.16605 | 1322 | 122 | 49 |
| Gaziantep**** | S9 | D/D | 2001 | 71234 | 1.14547 | 651 | 78 | 51 |
| Nusaybin-Cizre-ldil | S10 | F/S | 2003 | 77697 | 1.14548 | 761 | 83 | 54 |
| Silopi | S11 | F/S | 2003 | 25749 | 1.24277 | 321 | 34 | 53 |

[^0]Table 5A.5: Relative Water Supply

|  |  | Annual Water Supply* |  | Monthly Peak Supply ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation <br> Project | Code | [1/s/ha] | $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ETO}\right]$ | [1/s/ha] | $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ETO}\right]$ |
| NORTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Siverek-Hilvan | N1 | 0.59 | 0.85 | 1.24 | 1.13 |
| Adiyaman-Kahta | N2a | 0.47 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Adiyaman-Göksu-Araban | N 2 b | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 0.81 |
| Dicle right + right pumped | N3 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 1.11 | 1.05 |
| Garzan | N 4 a | 0.40 | 0.77 | 1.03 | 1.24 |
| Batman right + left | N4b | 0.41 | 0.79 | 1.18 | 1.42 |
| Batman-Silvan | N4c | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.87 |
| SOUTH-GAP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urfa-Harran | S5 | 0.61 | 0.89 | 1.41 | 1.27 |
| Mardin-Ceylanpinar |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1st+2nd stage) | S6 | 0.57 | 0.92 | 1.34 | 1.30 |
| Bozova pumped | S7 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 1.22 | 1.10 |
| Suruç-Baziki | S8 | 0.61 | 0.99 | 1.19 | 1.20 |
| Gaziantep | S9 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 1.09 | 1.11 |
| Nusaybin-Cizre-Idil | S10 | 0.46 | 0.81 | 1.07 | 1.32 |
| Silopi | S11 | 0.59 | 1.01 | 1.32 | 1.50 |

Notes: based on 245 days with irrigation (April to November)
** expected peak month: July

## 5A. 7 Yield Factors Related to Irrigation Deficit

## 5A.7.1 Basic Concept

For application in operation of irrigation schemes, it is possible to analyse the effect of water supply on crop yields. The relationship between crop yield and water supply can be determined when crop water requirements and crop water deficits, on the one hand, and maximum and actual crop yield on the other hand can be quantified. Water deficits in crops, and the resulting water stress on the plant, have an effect on crop evapotranspiration and crop yield. Water stress in the plant can be quantified by the rate of actual evapotranspiration (ETa) in relation to the rate of (maximum) crop evapotranspiration (ETc). When crop water requirements are fully met from available water supply then $\mathrm{ETa}=\mathrm{ETc}$; if water supply is insufficient, ETa<ETc.

When the full crop water requirements are not met, water deficit in the plant can develop to a point where crop growth and yield are affected. The manner in which water deficits affects crop growth and yield varies with the crop species and crop growth period. Where economic conditions do not restrict production and in a constraint-free environment, actual yield (Ya) is
equal to maximum yield ( Ym ) when full water requirements are met; if full water requirements are not met by available water supply, $\mathrm{Ya}<\mathrm{Ym}$.
In order to quantify the effect of water stress ist was necessary to derive the relationship between relative yield decrease and relative evapotranspiration deficit given by the empiricalderived yield response factor (ky), or
where: $\quad \mathrm{Ya}=$ actual harvested yield

| Ym | $=$ maximum harvested yield |
| ---: | :--- |
| ky | $=$ yield response factor |
| ETa | $=$ actual evapotranspiration |
| ETc | $=$ (maximum) crop evapotranspiration (= crop water requirements) |

Since this relationship is also affected by factors other than water, such as variety, fertilizer, salinity, pests and diseases, and agronomic practices, the relationship presented refers to high producing varieties, well-adapted to the growing environment, growing in large fields where optimum agronomic and irrigation practices, including adequate input supply, exept for water, are provided.

The ky values for most crops have been derived on the assumption that the relationship between relative yield ( $\mathrm{Ya} / \mathrm{Ym}$ ) and relative evapotransiration ( $\mathrm{ETa} / \mathrm{ETc}$ ) is linear and is valid for water deficits of up to about $50 \%$ or $1-\mathrm{ETa} / \mathrm{ETc}=0.5$.

For most crops there are 3 different types of yield response factors available, relating yield decrease ( $1-\mathrm{Ya} / \mathrm{Ym}$ ) to relative evapotranspiration deficit ( $1-\mathrm{ETa} / \mathrm{ETc}$ ):

Type A: if the water deficit occurs continuously and equally spread over the total growing period of the crop (so ETa and ETc of the total growing period have to be considered).

Type B: if the water deficit only occurs during an individual growth period of the crop (so ETa and ETc of this specific growth period only have to be considered).

Type C: if the water deficit during a particular growth period is expressed as a water deficit over the total growing period (so ETa and ETc of the total growing period have to be considered).

To maximize the total production under a limited water supply for an existing irrigation system the crop pattern model has to select an appropriate cropping pattern of crops considering the crop response factors of the different crops.

## A5.7.2 Application of Yield Response Factors

Such large irrigation projects, as these DSI projects in the GAp region, should not be planned and designed with a water deficit during an average year. As they will be operated by DSI
personal but the water is finally used by thousands of independent farmers there would come up tremendous conflicts in case of water shortages. Farmers of the upstream reaches of the irrigation canals will try to steal water and/or bribe operating personal and downstream farmers will get less or even no water. And during periods of sufficient water supply upstream farmers will try to take more water than needed (expecting a shortage later on) creating also a water deficit for downstream farmers and a decrease of the project efficiency. Seeding dates of downstream farmers will be delayed or they will abandon to grow high yield varieties and/or to provide other expensive inputs becaue of the high risk. So there will not be any more an effective operation of such an irrigation project and high investment expenditures for downstream canal reaches are lost. And what may be even worse, these poor experiences of downstream farmers will be reported to farmers of newly constructed irrigation projects and a similar behaviour will develop from the very beginning, even if these new projects are designed with a full water supply.

So it is strongly recommended to plan and design all irrigation projects in the GAP region for a full water supply, which is also international standard. Only for already existing irrigation projects a cropping pattern based on limited water supply may be acceptable. In this case the following stepwise procedure is suggested:
$\square$ First run of crop pattern model without taking into account yield response factors (ky). The result would be an optimum cropping pattern from a farmer's point of view (upstream and downstream farmers), perhaps for an area which is smaller than the technically irrigable area. The acreage which can be irrigated with full supply should be calculated. That time period where water requirements are as high as supply must be identified (peak period).
$\square \quad$ Run crop pattern model, taking into account ky. The resulting cropping pattern is an optimum one with respect to total production but not from at least upstream farmer's point of view. Maximum irrigable area with limited water supply has to be identified.
$\square$ Decision makers (GAP administration) have to decide which of these 2 cropping patterns with the respective irrigated area should be used for later model runs in the future.

In the case of limited water supply the appropriate type of yield response factors has to be selected. The factors of type A cannot be applied for the irrigation projects in the GAP region as for most crops there cannot be expected a water deficit continuously and equally spread over the total growing period, because of winter rain and/or pronounced peak irrigation requirements. The factors of type B and C are applicable. But for a simplified approach those of type B are recommended. This simplified approach assumes only a water deficit during the peak period of June to August for all irrigation projects. For all field crops (including alternative seeding dates) it has been examined which of the individual growth periods fall into the peak period and the respectivy ky factors have been selected using the data and figures of FAO, 1979. These selected ky factors of type B have been used to calculate the yield factors related to irrigation deficit (Irr 80 means $20 \%$ deficit and Irr 60 means $40 \%$ deficit) and are presented in the Tables 5A. 6 a and 5A. 6 b .

Table 5A.6a: Yield Factors Related to Irrigation Deficit (only during June to August), North

| Crop | Seeding Date |  | Irr80 | Irr60 | Crop | Seeding D |  | Ir80 | Irr60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa |  |  | 0,82 | 0,70 | Onion-spring | 15.3. | $\pm$ | 0,87 | 0,74 |
| Barley | 7.11. | is | 0,94 | 0,88 | Onion-winter | 24.8. |  | 0,95 | 0,90 |
| 仡 | 23.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |  | 9.9. | K | 0,93 | 0,86 |
| Bean, dry | 5.4 | * | 0,84 | 0,68 |  | 24.9 . |  | 0,90 | 0,80 |
| Cabbage | 1.8. |  | 0,84 | 0,68 | Pepper | 5.5. | is | 0,81 | 0,62 |
| , | 15.8. | $2 \times$ | 0,89 | 0,78 |  | 20.5 |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| $\square$ | 1.9. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | Potato | 28.3 . |  | 0,90 | 0,80 |
| Carrot-spring | 17.3 | \% | 0,84 | 0,68 |  | 5.5. | tr | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Carrot-winter | 9.9. | \% | 1,00 | 1,00 | " | 20.5 . |  | 0,78 | 0,56 |
| Cauliflower | 15.7. | E | 0,96 | 0,92 | Potato, early | 28.3. | is | 0,96 | 0,92 |
| Chickpea | 1.11. |  | 0,98 | 0,96 | Rice | 20.5. | H | 0,50 | 0,00 |
| -nı | 15.11. | t | 0,96 | 0,92 | Sorghum-grain | 1.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 30.11. |  | 0,95 | 0,90 |  | 16.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| Com-grain | 1.4. |  | 0,58 | 0,16 |  | 1.5. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| Combran | 16.4. |  | 0,62 | 0,24 |  | 16.5 |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 1.5. |  | 0,68 | 0,36 |  | 1.6. |  | 0,87 | 0,74 |
| " | 16.5. |  | 0,74 | 0,48 |  | 16.6. |  | 0,93 | 0,86 |
| " | 1.6. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |  | 1.7. | H | 0,95 | 0,90 |
| " | 16.6. |  | 0,86 | 0,72 | Sorghum-siliage | 1.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 1.7. | \% | 0,92 | 0,84 |  | 16.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| Corn-sillage | 1.4. |  | 0,58 | 0,16 | " | 15. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| Cor | 16.4. |  | 0,62 | 0,24 | " | 16.5. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 1.5 |  | 0,68 | 0,36 | * | 1.6. |  | 0,87 | 0,74 |
| " | 16.5. |  | 0,74 | 0,48 | " | 16.6. |  | 0,93 | 0,86 |
| " | 1.6. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 1.7. | H | 0,95 | 0,90 |
| " | 16.6. |  | 0,86 | 0,72 | " | 16.7. |  | 0,96 | 0,92 |
| " | 1.7. | 4 | 0,92 | 0,84 | Soybean | 1.4. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 |
| " | 16.7. |  | 0,93 | 0,86 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| cotton | 25.4. | \% | 0,88 | 0,76 | " | 1.5. |  | 0,81 | 0,62 |
| Cucumber | 5.5. | \% | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 15.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| ", | 20.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 1.6. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Eggplant | 1.4. | n | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 16.6. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 |
| " | 15.4. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 1.7. | \% | 0,86 | 0.72 |
| Groundnut | 1.4. | * | 0,82 | 0,64 | Spinach-spring | 17.3 | \% | 1,00 | 1,00 |
|  | 15.4. |  | 0,81 | 0,62 | Spinach-winter | 5.9. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| " | 1.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |  | 20.9. | is | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| " | 16.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 5.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| " | 1.6. |  | 0,84 | 0,68 | Squash | 5.5. | 2r | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| " | 16.6. |  | 0,88 | 0,76 | Sugarbeet | 1.4. | is | 0,88 | 0,74 |
| " ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1.7. |  | 0,92 | 0,84 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,88 | 0,74 |
| Leek | 15.7. | * | 0,96 | 0,92 | Sunflower | 1.4. | $\pm$ | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Lentil | 3.11. | is | 0,94 | 0,88 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Lettuce | 1.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | Tomato | 1.4. | is | 0,78 | 0,56 |
| *" | 15.10. | is | 1,00 | 1,00 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |
|  | 1.11. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | Watermelon | 1.5. | H | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Melon | 3.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 | " | 15.5. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |
| Okra | 5.5. | E | 0,88 | 0,76 | Wheat | 23.10. |  | 0,96 | 0,92 |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 7.11. | * | 0,93 | 0,86 |

Note: $\operatorname{lr} 80$ and $\operatorname{lrr} 60$ only to be applled for Dicle right bank, Dicle right bank pumped, and Batman right and left bank
< main seeding date

Table 5A.6b: Yield Factors Related to Irrigation Deficit (only during June to August), South

| Crop | Seeding | Date | $1 \mathrm{rr80}$ | $1 \mathrm{rr60}$ | Crop | Seeding Date |  | $1 \mathrm{rr80}$ | Irr60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa |  |  | 0,82 | 0,70 | Onion-spring | 6.3. | \% | 0.92 | 0,84 |
| Barley | 10.11. | 4 | 1,00 | 1,00 | Onion-winter | 4.9 |  | 0,99 | 0,98 |
|  | 26.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |  | 19.9. | 3 | 0,97 | 0,95 |
| Cabbage | 8.8. |  | 0,87 | 0,74 | Pepper | 20.4. | H | 0,941 | 0,62 |
| " | 23.8. | 3 | 0,95 | 0,90 |  | 5.5 |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| " | 8.9 . |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | Potato | 20.3 . |  | 0,91 | 0,82 |
| Carrot-spring | 7.3 | \% | 0,90 | 0,80 |  | 15.4. | 4 | 0,83 | 0,66 |
| Carrot-winter | 19.9. | is | 1,00 | 1,00 |  | 1.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Cauliflower | 25.7. | 㐋 | 0,97 | 0,94 | Poto | 16.5. |  | 0,78 | 0,56 |
| Chickpea | 5.11. |  | 0,99 | 0,98 | Potato, early | 15.5. | 4 | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| " | 20.11. | \% | 0,98 | 0,96 | Sorghum-grain | 15.3. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |
| " | 5.12. |  | 0,96 | 0,92 | Sorghimgrain | 1.4. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 |
| Com-grain | 15.3. |  | 0,60 | 0,20 |  | 16.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
|  | 1.4. |  | 0,59 | 0,18 |  | 1.5. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 16.4. |  | 0,63 | 0,36 |  | 16.5 |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| " | 1.5 |  | 0,69 | 0,38 |  | 1.6. |  | 0,87 | 0,74 |
| " | 16.5. |  | 0,74 | 0,48 | " | 16.6. |  | 0,93 | 0,86 |
|  | 1.6. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |  | 1.7. | is | 0,95 | 0,90 |
|  | 16.6. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |  | 16.7. |  | 0,96 | 0,92 |
|  | 1.7. | \# | 0,92 | 0,84 | Sorghum-sillage | 15.3 |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |
| " | 16.7. |  | 0,94 | 0,88 | " | 1.4. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 |
| Corn-sillage | 15.3. |  | 0,60 | 0,20 |  | 16.4 |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
|  | 1.4 |  | 0,59 | 0,18 | " | 1.5. |  | 0,82 | 0.64 |
| " | 16.4. |  | 0,63 | 0,26 |  | 16.5 |  | 0,82 | 0.64 |
| " | 1.5 |  | 0,69 | 0,38 |  | 1.6. |  | 0,87 | 0,74 |
| " | 16.5 |  | 0,74 | 0,48 |  | 16.6. |  | 0,93 | 0,86 |
| " | 1.6. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |  | 1.7. | is | 0,95 | 0,90 |
| * | 16.6. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |  | 16.7. |  | 0,96 | 0,92 |
| " | 1.7. | 4 | 0,92 | 0,84 |  | 1.8. |  | 0,97 | 0.94 |
| * | 16.7. |  | 0,94 | 0,88 | Soybean | 15.3. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |
| " | 1.8. |  | 0,97 | 0,94 |  | 1.4. |  | 0,84 | 0.68 |
| Cotton | 10.4. | \# | 0,88 | 0,76 |  | 16.4. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 |
|  | 22.4. |  | 0,88 | 0,76 |  | 1.5. |  | 0,81 | 0,62 |
| " | 6.5. |  | 0,88 | 0,76 |  | 16.5. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Cucumber | 16.4. | \% | 0,84 | 0,68 |  | 1.6. 16.6. |  | 0,80 0,83 | 0,60 0.66 |
|  | 1.5 |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |  | 1.7. | \% | 0,86 | 0,66 0,72 |
| Eggolant | 16.5. |  | 0,80 0,80 | 0,60 | Spinach-spring | 7.3 | \% | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| Eggplant | 15.3 1.4. |  | 0,80 0,80 | 0,60 0,60 | Spinach-winter | 18.9. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| " | 16.4. |  | 0,8 0,80 | 0,60 0,60 |  | 3.10. | is | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| Groundnut | 15.3. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |  | 18.10. 15.4 |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |
|  | 1.4. |  | 0,83 | 0,66 | Sugarbeet | 15.4. | 4 | 0,82 0,91 | 0,68 0,82 |
| " | 16.4. |  | 0,82 | 0,64 | " | 1.4. |  | 0,88 | 0,74 |
| " | 1.5. |  | 0,81 | 0,62 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,88 | 0.74 |
| " | 16.5 |  | 0,81 | 0,62 | Sunflower | 15.3. | \% | 0,81 | 0,63 |
| " | 1.6. |  | 0,85 | 0,70 |  | 1.4. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| " | 20.6. | is | 0,96 | 0,93 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,80 | 0,60 |
| Leek | 25.7. |  |  |  | Tomato | 15.3. | 2 | 0,80 | 0.61 |
| Lentil | 15.11. | \% | 1,00 | 1,00 |  | 1.4. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |
| Lettuce | 15.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | " | 16.4. |  | 0,79 | 0,58 |
| " | 1.11. | H | 1,00 | 1,00 | Watermelon | 18.4. | \% | 0,86 | 0.72 |
| " | 15.11. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 | " | 3.5 |  | 0,82 | 0,64 |
| Melon | 13.4. | * | 0,84 | 0,69 | Wheat | 26.10. |  | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| Okra | 15.4. | $\pm$ | 0,88 | 0,76 | " | 10.11. | \% | 0,96 | 0,92 |

Note: only to be applied for Uffa-Harran Project at = main seeding date

## A5.8 Water Charges

The average water charges used in the model have been based on the DSI data presented on Table 5A.7.

Table 5A.7: Water Charges (1988 prices)

|  | Yearly Labor Cost <br> (I) <br> (TL/da) | YearlyInv. Cost <br> (II) <br> (TL/da)Water Charge <br> (ILII) <br> (TL/da) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cereals | 2084 | 460 | 2544 |
| Pulses | 3380 | 460 | 3840 |
| Melon | 3184 | 460 | 3640 |
| Sugar | 4880 | 460 | 5340 |
| Cotton | 4880 | 460 | 5340 |
| Tobacco | 4300 | 460 | 4760 |
| Anis | 4300 | 460 | 4760 |
| Groundnuts | 4300 | 460 | 4760 |
| Sunflower | 2388 | 460 | 2818 |
| Poppy | 3016 | 460 | 3476 |
| Flower | 4532 | 460 | 4992 |
| Linseed | 2388 | 460 | 2848 |
| Sesame | 2388 | 460 | 2848 |
| Corn | 2304 | 460 | 2764 |
| Rice | 12368 | 460 | 12828 |
| Seedling | 1821 | 460 | 2284 |
| Fig | 3452 | 460 | 3912 |
| Grape | 3016 | 460 | 3476 |
| Olive | 2308 | 460 | 2768 |
| Fruit | 7128 | 460 | 7588 |
| Strawberry | 6456 | 460 | 6916 |
| Citrus | 9764 | 460 | 10224 |
| Banana | 19192 | 460 | 19652 |
| Vegetable | 6716 | 460 | 7176 |
| Potato | 4532 | 460 | 4992 |
| Onion/Garlic | 3548 | 460 | 4008 |
| Fodder | 2592 | 460 | 3052 |
| Poplar | 2908 | 460 | 3368 |

## ANNEX 5B

TURGAP

SIMULATION RESULTS


[^0]:    * DSJ information of Oct. 1991 (M/P $=$ Master Plan, $F / S=$ Feasibility Study, $D / D=$ Detailed Design, U/C $=$ Under Construction)
    ** $\quad$ DSI information of 24.1. and 10.2. 1992
    *** Working Paper 15 of MP, Ep should be reduced by $15 \%$ alternatively
    **** excluding Hancagiz, being already in operation

